	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/755,384	TERAHARA ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel J. Petkovsek	2874
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperall claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communication. This application is subjection.	s application. If not included ation will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b>
1. $igspace$ This communication is responsive to <u>RCE filed (w/ IDS) Fe</u>	ebruary 25, 2005.	
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 3-13, 15-21, 27-37, and 39-49.	,	
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on <u>January 13, 2004</u> are accepted by th	ne Examiner.	
4.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una)  All b)  Some* c)  None of the:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  5.  A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6.  CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a)  including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date  (b)  including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date  Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in tile attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	e been received.  been received in Application Notuments have been received in of this communication to file a reserved.  Item of this application.  Item of this application of the description of the	o this national stage application from the eply complying with the requirements  NER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF claration is deficient.  PTO-948) attached  the Office action of equipment from the back of 121(d).  AL must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s)  1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 2/25/05  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Sumr Paper No./Mai 98), 7. ☐ Examiner's Am	I Date

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the RCE (with IDS) filed February 25, 2005. It is noted that the same IDS was filed on December 28, 2004.

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 25, 2005 has been entered.

### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The prior art documents submitted by Applicant in the Information Disclosure Statements filed on February 25, 2005, have been considered and made of record (note attached copy of forms PTO-1449).

# Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-13, 15-21, 27-37, and 39-49 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the relevant prior art of record (US 6,424,774 to Takeda et al., US 6,731,837 to Goldberg et al.) does not explicitly teach or reasonably suggest, specifically

Application/Control Number: 10/755,384

Art Unit: 2874

in the device, that *Raman amplification* is resultant in the signal light as it passed through the fiber transmission lines.

Regarding new claims 43-47, the relevant prior art of record does not teach or reasonably suggest wavelength dispersion/slopes in the negative ranges. Regarding new claim 48, the relevant prior art of record does not teach or reasonably suggest lengths of more than 50km in the fiber line. Regarding new claim 49, the relevant prior art of record does not teach or reasonably suggest the relative Raman on/off gain of between 0.5 to 1.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Petkovsek whose telephone number is (571) 272-2355.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/755,384

Art Unit: 2874

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Petkovsek March 11, 2005

> Brian Healy Primary Examiner